



Research Paper

## Influence of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) on growth, physiological and yield parameters in Ajwain (*Trachyspermum ammi* L.)

Mohit Namdeo<sup>1\*</sup>, Richa Sharma<sup>2</sup> and D. M. Bahadure<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad-211007, India

<sup>3</sup> ICAR- Indian Institute of Millets Research, Hyderabad-500030, India

\*Correspondence author Email: [mnamdeo473@gmail.com](mailto:mnamdeo473@gmail.com)

Received: 26/08/2016

Revised: 08/09/2016

Accepted: 29/09/2016

**Abstract:** A pot experiment of the effect of GA<sub>3</sub> conduct on *Trachyspermum ammi* L (Ajwain) cv- R.A.19-80 during the rabi season of 2014-2015. The different concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> (15 ppm, 25 ppm, 35 ppm, 50 ppm, 60 ppm, 70 ppm, 80 ppm and 100 ppm) sprayed on the crops to study the growth behaviour, yield attributes and physiological characteristic of Ajwain with three replications. Spray given is two times at 25 and 60 DAS. The highest plant height, plant fresh weight, plant dry weight, relative water content, number of umbels, 1000 seed weight and seed yield was recorded by the treatment of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm. The foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> significantly increased vegetative growth, yield and physiology of Ajwain compared with control. Based on the result, It can be concluded that foliar application GA<sub>3</sub> @100 ppm had significantly on plant growth flowering and yield potential.

**Keywords:** Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>), growth, yield, physiology, Ajwain.

## INTRODUCTION

*Trachyspermum ammi* L. (Ajwain) belongs to family Apiaceae. Its centre of origin is Egypt and India and also cultivated in arid and semiarid regions of world like Iran, Pakistan and Afghanistan. It is widely distributed in northern part of India in the states like Rajasthan, Gujarat, Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Madhya Pradesh, Tamil Nadu and Andhra Pradesh. Ajwain is erect, glabrous or minutely pubescent branched annual herb which grows up to 75-80cm in height. Stem is striate, leaves are distant, and 2-3 innately divided, segments linear. Flowers are white in colour. The seeds are small and yellowish brown in colour (Rohamare, *et al.* 2013). Ajwain is also known by other names in literature as owa, omum, Bishop's weed, carom, or Ethiopian cumin. Ajwain is an aromatic seed spices, and it is generally used as a digestive stimulant or to treat liver disorders. A number of medicinal and therapeutic properties have been ascribed to various parts of this plant. Ajwain seed essential oil contains about 40-50% thymol which is a strong germicide, anti-spasmodic

and fungicide. Composition of the Ajwain seeds consist moisture 8.9 percent, protein 15.4 percent, fat 18.1 per cent, minerals 7.1 percent, fibre 11.9 percent, carbohydrates 38.6 percent, calcium 1.42 percent, phosphorus 0.30 percent, and iron 14.6 percent respectively (Dashora, *et al.* 2006). It is reported that the ripening seeds of ajwan contain 2-4% essential oil which is rich in mono terpenes like thymol and it is mainly used as an antiseptic agent as well as drug component in medicine (Gupta, 2002). Thymol is an important alkaloid of Ajwain and is used as an ingredient of deodorants, mouthwashes, toothpastes and many pharmaceutical preparations. Other extracted essential oils containing a liquid hydrocarbon, 1-methyl-4-isopropylbenzol is isomeric with oil of turpentine are used in the food and pharmaceutical industries due to their therapeutic, antimicrobial and antioxidant activities (Zachariah, *et al.* 2008). Ajwain is highly esteemed as a remedial agent for flatulence, flatulent colic, atonic dyspepsia, diarrhoea - in short, as a digestive aid and also as an antiseptic (Bentley and Trimen 1999). The fruits of this plant can be use as an antispasmodic, antiflatulent, anti-rheumatic, diuretic and antimicrobial (Malhotra and Vashishta 2005). Ajwain is an aromatic seed spices, and it is generally used as a digestive stimulant or to treat liver disorders. Thymol, which is the major phenolic compound in ajowan, has been reported to be a germicide, antispasmodic, and antifungal agent (Naglakshmi and Shankaracharya 2000). Plant growth hormone has a promising possibilities for crop improvement in future therefore the plant growth regulators (magic chemicals) are considered as a new generation agro-chemicals after fertilizers, pesticides and herbicides are known to enhance the source-sink relationship and

stimulate the translocation of photo-assimilates thereby helping better fruit set. Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) stimulate vegetative growth and are involved in the initiation of cell division in the cambium. These plant growth regulators cause osmotic uptake of water which maintain a swelling force against the softening of cell wall (Arora, *et al.* 1985). Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) is the first highly active and commercially available gibberellin to be purified from a culture medium of *Gibberella fujikuroi* (Sponsel, 1990). Gibberellins (GA<sub>3</sub>) are a class of endogenous plant growth substances actively involved in the control of a number of key developmental processes including stem elongation, as well as flower and fruit development (Huttly and Phillips 1995). Plants subjected to exogenous application of GA<sub>3</sub> have been found to exhibit increased activities of carbonic anhydrase, nitrate reductase (Khan 1996). Thus in present investigation an attempt has been made to study the effect of foliar spray of different concentrations of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) on growth, yield and physiology in Ajwain.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

A pot experiment for the effect of GA<sub>3</sub> have been conducted on Ajwain cv (R. A. 19-80) during the rabi season of 2014-2015. The different concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> (15, 25, 35, 50, 60, 70, 80 and 100 ppm) sprayed on the crop to study growth behaviour and yield attributes of Ajwain with R.B.D. and three replications. Sprays have been given in one time at 25 and 60 days after sowing. Parameter observed.

#### RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The statistical analysis in the Table 1 showed significant result at growth regulator GA<sub>3</sub> foliar spray.

**Table 1. Influence of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) on plant height (cm.) at different stages in *Trachyspermum ammi* L.**

Treatments		Plant Height (cm)		
		30DAS	60DAS	90DAS
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	10.16	26.76	82.20
T <sub>2</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (15 ppm)	10.40	30.50	83.60
T <sub>3</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (25 ppm)	10.90	28.40	85.13
T <sub>4</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (35 ppm)	11.20	35.13	87.73
T <sub>5</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (50 ppm)	10.50	33.26	90.63
T <sub>6</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (60 ppm)	11.40	37.80	87.53
T <sub>7</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (70 ppm)	10.80	36.30	86.53
T <sub>8</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (80 ppm)	11.60	38.53	94.10
T <sub>9</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (100 ppm)	12.10	42.26	98.66
	F-test	NS	S	S
	S.Ed(±)	2.701	2.160	3.060
	CD(5%)	5.575	4.459	6.317

**Table 2. Influence of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) on no. of primary branches, no. of secondary branches, plant fresh weight (g), plant dry weight (g) in *Trachyspermum ammi* L.**

	Treatments	No. of primary branches At harvest stage	No. of secondary branches At harvest stage	Plant fresh weight (g) At harvest stage	Plant dry weight (g) At harvest stage
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	13.66	35.66	78.50	16.13
T <sub>2</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (15 ppm)	13.33	34.66	82.60	17.33
T <sub>3</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (25 ppm)	14.00	38.33	79.53	17.10
T <sub>4</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (35 ppm)	13.00	34.33	83.20	18.16
T <sub>5</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (50 ppm)	14.33	36.66	85.83	18.56
T <sub>6</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (60 ppm)	15.00	43.66	81.50	18.23
T <sub>7</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (70 ppm)	14.66	40.33	90.80	17.16
T <sub>8</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (80 ppm)	15.66	45.66	95.55	19.13
T <sub>9</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (100 ppm)	16.33	50.66	91.90	18.33
	F-test	S	S	S	S
	S.Ed(±)	0.828	1.036	1.062	0.577
	CD(5%)	1.708	2.138	2.193	1.192

**Table 3. Influence of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) on no. of umbellates per plant, no. of seed per umbellate, seed yield (g), 1000 seed weight (g) in *Trachyspermum ammi* L.**

	Treatments	No. of umbellates per plant	No. of seed per umbellate	Seed yield (g)	1000 seed weight (g)
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	162.3	26.00	4.58	1.08
T <sub>2</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (15 ppm)	165.33	27.33	4.99	1.10
T <sub>3</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (25 ppm)	163.66	25.33	4.75	1.14
T <sub>4</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (35 ppm)	167.66	29.66	5.55	1.13
T <sub>5</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (50 ppm)	172.66	29.33	6.06	1.22
T <sub>6</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (60 ppm)	171.33	29.33	6.04	1.20
T <sub>7</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (70 ppm)	175.33	30.33	6.77	1.28
T <sub>8</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (80 ppm)	173.33	28.33	5.99	1.24
T <sub>9</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (100 ppm)	180.33	32.66	7.47	1.31
	F-test	S	S	S	S
	S.Ed(±)	1.339	1.087	0.276	0.016
	CD(5%)	2.764	2.244	0.571	0.034

**Table 4. Influence of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) on total chlorophyll content (mg/g fr. wt.), carotenoid content (mg/g fr. wt.), total protein content (mg/g), relative water content (%) in *Trachyspermum ammi* L.**

	Treatments	Total chlorophyll content (mg/g fr. wt.)	Carotenoid content (mg/g fr. wt.)	Total protein content (mg/g)	Relative water content (%)
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	1.28	1.35	3.27	50.46
T <sub>2</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (15 ppm)	1.10	1.54	3.29	54.70
T <sub>3</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (25 ppm)	1.38	1.45	3.37	57.23
T <sub>4</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (35 ppm)	1.48	1.52	3.39	55.45
T <sub>5</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (50 ppm)	1.61	1.41	3.40	60.80
T <sub>6</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (60 ppm)	1.57	1.59	3.43	62.77
T <sub>7</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (70 ppm)	1.91	1.69	3.57	66.15
T <sub>8</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (80 ppm)	1.67	1.64	3.50	64.59
T <sub>9</sub>	GA <sub>3</sub> (100 ppm)	2.16	1.82	3.64	68.22
	F-test	S	S	S	S
	S.Ed(±)	0.174	0.023	0.034	1.633
	CD(5%)	0.359	0.048	0.071	3.370

The plant height at 30 DAS differed significantly due to different treatments. Significantly maximum plant height (12.10 cm) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm) followed by (11.60 cm) found in T<sub>8</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @80

ppm) and minimum was recorded (10.16 cm) in T<sub>1</sub> (control). At 60 DAS maximum plant height (42.26 cm) found in T<sub>9</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm) followed by (38.53cm) found in T<sub>8</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 80 ppm) and minimum was recorded

© Copyright 2014 | [ijgsr.com](http://ijgsr.com) | All Rights Reserved  
(26.76 cm) in T<sub>1</sub> (control). At 90 DAS maximum plant height (98.66 cm) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm) followed by (94.10 cm) found in T<sub>8</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 80 ppm) and minimum was recorded (82.20 cm) in T<sub>1</sub> (control). Application of GA<sub>3</sub> @50 ppm was found (Moniruzzaman, *et al.*, 2014) to increase in plant height (76.72 cm), stem diameter (1.45 cm). It is also even found effective (Roy and Nasiruddin, 2011) in increase plant height (39.4) and stem fresh weight (46.30 g) in cabbage. The number of primary branches at harvest stages differed significantly due to different treatments. Significantly maximum number of primary branches (16.33) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm) followed by (15.66) found in T<sub>8</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @80 ppm) and minimum was recorded (13.00) in T<sub>4</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @35 ppm). Application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm was found (Haq, *et al.*, 2013) to increase in number of primary branches (5.19). Further it is also found effective (Abbas, 2011) number of branches (30.67) in carrot. The number of secondary branches at harvest stages differed significantly due to different treatments. Significantly maximum number of secondary branches (50.66) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm) followed by (45.66) found in T<sub>8</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @80 ppm) and minimum was recorded (34.33) in T<sub>4</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @35 ppm). Application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm was found (Pariari, *et al.*, 2012) to increase in number of secondary branches/plant (10.59). It is also even found effective (Prasad, *et al.*, 2013) increase number of branches/plant (10.59) in tomato. The plant fresh weight at harvest stage differed significantly due to different treatments. Significantly maximum plant fresh weight (95.55 g/plant) was recorded in T<sub>8</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 80 ppm) followed by (91.90 g/plant) found in T<sub>9</sub>

(Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @100 ppm) and minimum was recorded (78.50 g/plant) in T<sub>1</sub> (Control). Application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 50 ppm was found (Tsiakaras, *et al.*, 2014) inplant fresh weight (932.0 g) in lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.). Further increase it is also found effective (Ghodrat and Rousta, 2012) in increase shoot fresh weight (1.6 mg) in maize. The plant dry weight at harvest stage differed significantly due to different treatments. Significantly maximum plant fresh weight (19.13 g/plant) was recorded in T<sub>8</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 80 ppm) followed by (18.33 g/plant) found in T<sub>9</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @100 ppm) and minimum was recorded (16.13 g/plant) in T<sub>1</sub> (control). Application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 50 ppm was found (Netam and Sharma, 2014) in plant dry weight was observed of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 50 ppm in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Further increase it is also found effective (Haq, *et al.* 2013) in increase plant dry weight (9.36 g/plant) in black cumin. The number of umbellates per plant differed significantly due to different treatments. Significantly maximum number of umbellates per plant (180.66) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm) followed by (175.33) found in T<sub>7</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @70 ppm) and minimum was recorded (162.33) in T<sub>1</sub> (Control). Application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 50 ppm was found (Kumar, *et al.*, 2014) to increase number of flowers (38.26) in tomato. It is also even found effective (Hasanuzzaman, *et al.*, 2015) increase flowers per plant (56.42) in tomato. The number of seed per umbellate differed significantly due to different treatments. Significantly maximum number of seed per umbellate (32.66) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm) followed by (30.33) found in T<sub>7</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @70 ppm) and minimum was recorded (26.00) in T<sub>1</sub> (Control). Application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 75 ppm was found (Yugandhar, *et al.*, 2014) to increase in seed

per umbellates (33.00) in coriander. It is also even found effective (Emongor and Ndambole, 2011) increase seed number/pod-1(8.5) in cowpea. The seed yield (g/plant) differed significantly due to different treatments. Significantly maximum seed yield (7.63 g/plant) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm) followed by (6.77 g/plant) found in T<sub>7</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @70 ppm) and minimum was recorded (4.58 g/plant) in T<sub>1</sub> (Control). Application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 90 ppm was found (Mazed, *et al.*, 2015) in Yield (13.51kg./ plot) in cabbage. Further increase it is also found effective (Shahid, *et al.* 2013) in seed yield in okra. The 1000 seed yield (g) differed significantly due to different treatments. Significantly maximum 1000 seed yield (1.31 g) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm) followed by (1.28 g) found in T<sub>7</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @70 ppm) and minimum was recorded (1.08 g) in T<sub>1</sub> (Control). Application of GA<sub>3</sub> was found (Emongor and Ndambole., 2011) to increase in 1000 seed weight (21.40 g) in Lentil. It is also even found effective (Bakhsh, *et al.*, 2011) increase 1000 seed weight (21.40 g) in paddy. The total chlorophyll content at 60 DAS differed significantly due to different treatments. Significantly maximum total chlorophyll (2.16 mg/g fresh weight) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm) followed by (1.91 mg/g fresh weight) found in T<sub>7</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @70 ppm) and minimum was recorded (1.28 mg/g fresh weight) in T<sub>1</sub> (Control). Application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100mgL<sup>-1</sup> was found (Rohamare, *et al.*, 2013) in chlorophyll and essential oil content in Ajwain. Further increase it is also found effective (Rashad and Hussien, 2014) in chlorophyll content (2.62 mg/g) in plants. The carotenoid content at 60 DAS differed significantly due to different treatments. Significantly maximum carotenoid (1.82 mg/g fresh

weight) content was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm) followed by (1.69 mg/g fresh weight) found in T<sub>7</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @70 ppm) and minimum was recorded (1.35 mg/g fresh weight) in T<sub>1</sub> (Control). Application of GA<sub>3</sub> was found (Khavari *et al.*, 2013) to increase total chlorophylls, carotenoids, soluble proteins and insoluble sugars contents in tomato. It is also even found effective Sattigeri, *et al.*, (2014) increase chlorophyll content and carotenoid content in tomato. The total protein content differed significantly due to different treatments. Significantly maximum protein content (2.16 mg/g fresh weight) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm) followed by (1.91 mg/g fresh weight) found in T<sub>7</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @70 ppm) and minimum was recorded (1.28 mg/g fresh weight) in T<sub>1</sub> (Control). Application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 50-75 ppm was found (Rahman, *et al.*, 2015) increased biochemical parameters (chlorophyll, soluble protein and nitrate reductase activity). Further increase it is also found effective (Kavina, *et al.*, 2011) in protein content (10.01 %) in *Mentha piperita* L.). The relative water content differed significantly due to different treatments. Significantly maximum relative water content (68.22%) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm) followed by (66.15%) found in T<sub>7</sub> (Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @70 ppm) and minimum was recorded (50.46%) in T<sub>1</sub> (Control). Application of GA<sub>3</sub> was found (Mahdavi and Rahimi, 2013) higher leaf RWC allows the plant to maintain turgidity and this would exhibit relatively less reduction in biomass and yield. It is also even found effective (Amal, *et al.*, 2014) increase relative water content (72.00 %) in barley.

**Conclusion:** On the basis of single trial experiment, treatment T<sub>9</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm) showed significantly improved vegetative

growth, yield and quality of *Trachyspermum ammi* L..

**Acknowledgement:** I great thankful to my advisor Mrs. Richa Sharma, and my whole family members and Friends for their guidance, inspiring, co-operation, encouragement during the preparation of this manuscript.

## REFERENCES

Rohamare Y., Nikam T. D. and Dhumal K. N. (2013) Effect of foliar application of plant growth regulators on growth, yield and essential oil components of ajwain (*Trachyspermum ammi* L.) Int. J. Seed Sp. 3 (2), 34-41.

Dashora L. K., Dashora A. and Lakhawat S. S. (2006) Production technology of plantation crops, spices, aromatic and medicinal plants. Agro. Pub. Aca. Udaipur. 135-139.

Gupta S. (2002) Handbook of spices and packaging with formulas. Engineers India Research Institute, New Delhi. Hien QN (2004) Radiation processing of chitosan and some biological effects. Rad. Pro. Poly. 1, 67-73.

Zachariah J. T., Parthasarthy V. A and Chempakam T. (2008) Ajwain In: Chemistry of spices. CAB International. 16, 312-318.

Bentley R and Trimen H. (1999) Medicinal Plants. Asiatic Publishing House. India 194-197.

Malhotra S. K. and Vashishtha B. B. (2005) Effect of seed rate and row spacing on growth, yield and essential oil of ajowan (*Trachyspermum ammi* L.) genotype. Indian Journal of Arecanut, Spices and Medicinal Plants 7 (1), 18-20.

Nagalakshmi S and Shankaracharya N. (2000) Studies on chemical and technological aspects of Ajowan. J. Food Sci. Technol. 37, 277- 281.

Arora S. K., Pandita M. L., Partap P. S and Sidhu A. S. (1985) Effect of ethephon, GA<sub>3</sub>, MH and nitrogen on vegetative growth, flowering and fruiting of cucurbitaceous crops. J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. 32 (5), 392-395.

Sponsel V. M. (1990) Gibberellin biosynthesis and metabolism, In: Davies, P. J., (ed.). Plant hormones and their role in plant growth and development. Kluwer Academic Publishers. Netherlands. 44-75.

Huttly A. K. and Phillips A. L. (1995) Gibberellin regulated plant genes. Physiol. Plant. 95, 310-317.

Khan N. A. (1996) Effect of gibberellic acid on carbonic anhydrase, photosynthesis, growth and yield of mustard. Biol. Plant. 38, 145-147.

Moniruzzaman M., Khatoon R., Hossain M. F. B., Jamil M. K. and Islam M. N. (2014) Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA on physiological characters, yield and yield components of brinjal (*solanum melongena* l.). Bangladesh J. Agril. Res. 39(3), 397-405.

Roy R and Nasiruddin K. M. (2011) Effect of different level of GA<sub>3</sub> on growth and yield of cabbage. J. Env. Sci. & Nat. Res. 4(2), 79-82.

Haq M. Z., Hossain M. M., Huda M. S., Zamal S. S. and Karim M. M. (2013) Response of foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> in different plant ages for seed production in black cumin. Eco-friendly Agril. J. 6(08) 150-155.

- Abbas E. D. (2011) Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> on growth and some physiological characterizes in carrot plant (*daucus carota l.*). Ibn Al-haitham J. Pure Appl. Sci. 24(3), 43-48.
- Pariari A., Chatterjee R and Khan S. (2012) Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> and AAA on growth and yield of black cumin (*Nigela sativa L.*). J. Crop and Weed. 8(1),165-167.
- Prasad R. N., Singh S. K., Yadava R. B. and Chaurasia S. N. S. (2013) Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA on growth and yield of tomato. Vegetable Science. 40(2), 195-197.
- Tsiakaras G., Petropoulos S. A. and Khah E. M. (2014) Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> and nitrogen on yield and marketability of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa L.*). Aus. J. of Crop Sci. 8(1), 127-132.
- Ghodrat V and Roust M. J. (2012) Effect of priming with gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) on germination and growth of corn (*Zea mays L.*) under saline condition. Int. J. Agri. Crop Sci. 4 (13), 882-885.
- Netam J. L. and Sharma R. (2014) Efficacy of plant growth regulators on growth characters and yield attributes in brinjal (*Solanum melongena L.*) cv. Brinjal. J. of Agril. Vetr. Sci. 7(3), 27-30.
- Kumar A., Biswas T. K. Singh N. and Lal E. P. (2014) Effect of gibberellic acid on growth, quality and yield of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.*). J. Agril. Vetr. Sci.7, 28-30.
- Hasanuzzaman M. A., Mazed H. E. M. K., Islam M. A. P., Chowdhury M. S. N and Moonmoon J. F. (2015) Growth and yield of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.*) as influenced by different level of gibberellic acid application. Int. j. Applied Res. 1(3), 71-74.
- Yugandhar V., Reddy P. S. S., Sivaram G. T. and Reddy D. S. (2014) Effect of growth regulators on growth, seed yield and quality of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum L.*) cv. Sudha. Plant Archives. 14 (2), 1083-1086.
- Emongor V. and Ndambole C. M. (2011), Effect of gibberellic acid on performance of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata (L.) Afri.* Crop Sci. Conf. Procs. 10, 87-92
- Mazed H. E. M. K., Akand M. D., Moonmoon I. J. and Rahman M. H. (2015) Effect of gibberellic acid on the growth and yield of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea var. capitata L.*). Int. J. App. Res., 1(5), 16-19.
- Shahid M. R. Amjad M., Ziaf K., Jahangir M. M., Ahmad S., Iqbal Q and Nawaz A. (2013) Growth, yield and seed production of okra as influenced by different growth regulators. Pak. J. Agri. Sci., 50, 387-392.
- Emongor V and Ndambole C. M. (2011) Effect of gibberellic acid on performance of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata (L.) Afri.* Crop Sci. Conf. Procs. 10, 87-92
- Bakhsh I., Awan I., Sadiq M., Niamatullah M., Zaman K. U. and Aftab M. (2011) Effect of plant growth regulator application at different growth stages on the economical yield potential of coarse rice (*oryza sativa l.*). The J. Ani. Plant Sci. 21(3), 612-616.
- Rashad R. T. and Hussien A. R. (2014) A comparison study on the effect of some growth regulators on the nutrients content of maize plant under salinity conditions. Annals Agril. Sci. 59(1), 89-94.
- Khavari-Nejad R. A., Najafi F. and Ranjbari M. (2013) The effect of GA<sub>3</sub> application on



Available Online at [www.ijgsr.com](http://www.ijgsr.com)

© Copyright 2014 | [ijgsr.com](http://ijgsr.com) | All Rights Reserved  
growth, lipid peroxidation, antioxidant enzymes activities, and sugar level of cadmium stressed tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum* Mill. Cv. CH) plants. J. Biol. Plant Biol. 58(1), 51-60.

Sattigeri U. T., Lal E. P., Bangi S. S., Tallur M and Bangi S. S. (2014) Influence of organic, inorganic nutrients forms and plant growth regulators on various morphological growth, biochemical traits, and yield components in tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum* L.). J. Agri. Vet. Sci. 7(6), 1-4.

Rahman M. S., Haque M. A. and Mostofa M. G. (2015) Effect of GA3 on biochemical attributes and yield of summer tomato. J. Bios. Agri. Res. 3(2), 73-78.

Kavina J., Gopi R and Panneerselvam R. (2011) Plant growth regulators and fungicides alters growth and biochemical contents in *Mentha piperita* linn. Internatl. J. Environ. Sci. 1(7), 2096-2108.

Mahadavi B and Rahmi A. (2013) Seed priming with chitosan improves the germination and growth performance of ajowan (*Carum copticum*) under salt stress. Eurasia J. Biosci 7, 69-76.

Amal M. E., Hamid A. and Mohamed H. I. (2014) The effect of the exogenous gibberellic acid on two salt stressed barley cultivars. Euro. Sci. J. 10(6), 228-245.