



## Research paper

### Cheetah reintroduction in relation to SDGs

P. S. Bhatnagar

Zoological Survey of India, Central Zone Regional Centre, Vijay Nagar, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

\*Email: zscientist2004@yahoo.com

Received: 14/08/2023

Revised: 20/08/2023

Accepted: 28/08/2023

**Abstract:** There have been several studies on Cheetah reintroduction *per se* and recently Cheetah has been reintroduced at Kuno National Park, Sheopur district of Madhya Pradesh, India and there are plans to reintroduce at elsewhere in India. Cheetah's original range included vast areas in India as well as other parts of Asia. Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) is an important concept so it is worthwhile to relate Cheetah reintroduction to SDGs so that local community support and other benefits are ensured and efforts are sustainable. SDG goal 15 (Life on land) is directly related to this and within this SDG, 15.5 deals with combating species extinction. As SDGs rest on three pillars; social, economic and environmental, so it is needed to incorporate these aspects in a well integrated manner. Beside SDG 15 should relate to SDG 1 (no poverty), SDG 3 (good health and well being), SDG 5 (gender equality), SDG 6 (clean water and sanitation) and SDG 13 (climate action). These are some of the SDGs to which SDG 15 can relate while doing Cheetah reintroduction in its former range in India and other parts of Asia.

**Keywords:** Cheetah, Re-introduction, SDG, interaction, trade - off

#### Introduction:

Historically widespread across Africa and south-western Asia, cheetah are now known to occur in only 9% of their past distributional range (Durrant *et al.*, 2017). From India Cheetah become extinct a few decades back and last cheetah was shot in Chattisgarh. Recently cheetah have been introduced from Africa at Kuno National Park (746 sq Km approx.) in Sheopur district in Madhya Pradesh.

(Durrant *et al.*, 2017) estimate approximately 7,100 adult cheetahs across Africa and Asia, with five separate subspecies (Krausman and Morales, 2005). Of these, approximately 4,300 cheetahs (61%) live in southern Africa, 4,029 in our four study countries, and 2,300 cheetahs (32%) in eastern Africa. Historically, cheetahs roamed large parts of sub-Saharan Africa, but have been widely extirpated, now residing in only 22% of their historical range (Durrant *et al.*, 2017). This reflects an on-going declining population and that >75% of the species' range exists outside protected areas where

cheetahs may be exposed to high levels of threat from human persecution.

### Review of literature:

Independent assessment by (Weise *et al.*, 2017), with an estimated range size lower than the IUCN estimate and an estimated adult cheetah population of approximately 3,577 free-ranging animals, supports the conclusion of (Durant *et al.*, 2017) to review the cheetah's threat status and consider up-listing the species to endangered status.

In Asia, the decline of cheetah has been particularly precipitous. Cheetah have been extirpated from 98% of their historical range, and a critically endangered population of Asiatic cheetah *Acinonyx jubatus venaticus* survives only in Iran (Durrant *et al.*, 2017).

Across their surviving range, cheetah populations vary in the level of threat that they experience. Most resident range (77%) is on unprotected land, which supports an estimated 67% of the cheetah population. Here, cheetah face increased pressures from widespread human-wildlife conflict, prey loss caused by overhunting and bush meat harvesting, habitat loss and fragmentation, and illegal trade (IUCN/SSC). The species thus faces spatially heterogeneous threats that are higher outside than inside PAs, whereas much of the data available for threat assessment comes from within PAs, which support the highest reported densities of cheetah ( $\sim 0.02/\text{km}^2$ ) (Marnewick, *et al.*, 2014; Durant, *et al.*, 2011). Populations on unprotected lands and in small or poorly managed PAs, where they are exposed to multiple threats, are likely to be in decline (Durrant *et al.*, 2017).

It is clear from foregoing description that there have been studies on Cheetah's population and distribution as well as SDG in relation to each other in general (Tosun, 2017, Singh *et al.*, 2018), (Nilsson, 2019),

(Pham-Truffert *et al.*, 2020), (Bennich *et al.*, 2020) and (Scharlemann, 2020). However, there is a clear lack of studies of SDG interactions and trade-offs in relation to Cheetah particularly in Indian context. Therefore, the present paper has the aim of putting forward a hypothesis of cheetah's re-introduction in India in the context of interactions and trade-offs of relevant SDGs.

### Methodology:

The proposed hypothesis is based on authors own observations while on a visit to Kuno, interaction with forest staff and study of relevant available literature.

### Results and Discussion:

#### Theoretical framework:

Development is an undeniable reality however it has to be sustained. The 17 SDGs present an opportunity for sustainable development. Biodiversity conservation is a very strong focus on sustainable development and SDG 15 (life on land) along with SDG 14 (Life below water) emerge as multipliers of co-benefits across the goals.

Substantial economic, social, and environmental benefits can be obtained from the well-coordinated implementation of the SDGs and intentional use of synergies among goals. Several studies on SDG interactions have demonstrated that actions or inactions toward specific goals positively or negatively affect progress towards other goals (Nilsson *et al.*, 2020, ICSU, 2017 and Pham-Truffert *et al.*, 2020).

Coherent policies to achieve the SDGs require an understanding of the interactions between SDGs, (OECD, 2019) and (Tosun, 2017). That is, biodiversity-focused SDGs emerge as multipliers of co-benefits across all goals, and further serve to buffer negative interactions.

SDG interactions refer to interdependencies between the sustainable

development goals (SDGs), whereby action toward one goal (i.e., SDG or target) impacts the performance of one or more others. There can be synergistic interactions ('co-benefits') or conflictual interactions ('trade-offs') for Each pair of SDG targets, e.g. identified in a formal network analysis based on literature review (Nilsso, 2016). Also, impacts on SDGs may occur at other levels (e.g., local and regional) besides the national and international levels. Studying impacts at all levels is important because achieving SDGs everywhere requires efforts worldwide and achieving SDGs at local and regional levels is a foundation for achieving SDGs at national and international levels (Xu *et al.*, 2020). Furthermore, besides places with 81 direct connections, other places may also be indirectly affected. (Zhao *et al.*, 2021)

(Zhao *et al.*, 2021) worked using the examples of tourism and panda loans between the globally important Wolong Nature Reserve for panda conservation and the rest of the world to evaluate their effects on six SDGs in Wolong and the other 66 panda reserves. Their analyses uncover a total of 17 synergies and two tradeoffs, of which 10 synergies and one tradeoff are internal to Wolong, while seven synergies and one tradeoff occur across reserve boundaries.

Within SDG 15, SDG 15.5 deals with combating species extinction. However, combating species extinction is not feasible without adopting an approach that involves local community participation and locals should see a direct gain for themselves from species re-introduction. SDG have three pillars to succeed; social, economic and environmental. Kuno is a grassland habitat of approx. 745 sq Km , although in Africa re-introduction of cheetah has been done where the area of conservation reserve was 1600 Km sq approx.. There are several villages around Kuno and villagers have been made

“cheetah mitr” (friends of cheetah). . It is expected that this re-introduction programme shall relate to SDG (No poverty) be generating employment specially for local people (as well as those outside ), SDG 13 (good health and well being ) as everyone needs clean air and water , which cheetah’s conservation will ensure , SDG 5 (gender equality as both men and women will get employment and both will get educated (it is said that if you educate a man, you educate only a person but if a woman gets educated, whole family gets educated) , SDG 6 (clean water a d sanitation ), habitat conservation will necessitate that trees and conserved and sensitisation is maintained to keep the area disease free and SDG 13 (climate action) ; as all these actions will in directly or directly contribute to climate conservation and reduce greenhouse gases.

Therefore, based on the personal observations and theoretical framework presented above for SDG interaction and trade- off , it is it is hypothesised that Cheetah conservation as a result of re-introduction in Kuno shall involve interaction among various SDG and trade-offs.

#### **Acknowledgement:**

Thanks are due to The Director, Zoological Survey of India for facilities.

#### **References:**

- Breu, T., Bergoo, M., Ebnetter, L. et al (2020) Where to begin? Defining national strategies for implementing the (2030) Agenda: the case of Switzerland. *Sustain Sci.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-020-00856-0>
- Blicharska, M., Smithers, R.J., Mikusiński, G et al (2019) Biodiversity’s contributions to sustainable development. *Nat Sustain* 2:1083–1093. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0417-9>

- Bennich, T., Weitz, N., Carlsen, H. (2020) Deciphering the scientific literature on SDG interactions: A review and reading guide. *Science of The Total Environment* 728:138405. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138405>
- Diaz, S., Settele, J., Brondizio, E.S. et al (2019) Pervasive human-driven decline of life on Earth points to the need for transformative change. *Science* 366.: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax3100>
- Durant, S.M., et al. (2011). Long-term trends in carnivore abundance using distance sampling in Serengeti National Park, Tanzania. *J Appl Ecol.* 2011;48 (6):1490–1500. [[Google Scholar](#)]
- Durant, S.M., Mitchell N., Groom R., Petteorelli N., Ipavec, A., Jacobson, A.P., Woodroffe, R., Böhm, M., Hunter, L.T.B., Becker, M.S, Broekhuis, F., Bashir, S., Andresen, L., Aschenborn, O., Beddiaf, M., Belbachir, F., Belbachir-Bazi, A., Berbash, A., Brandao, de Matos Machado, I., Breitenmoser, C., Chege, M., Cilliers, D., Davies-Mostert, H., Dickman, A.J, Ezekiel, F., Farhadinia, M.S., Funston, P., Henschel, P., Horgan, J, De Iongh, H.H., Jowkar, H., Klein, R., Lindsey, P.A., Marker, L., Marnewick, K., Melzheimer, J., Merkle, J., M'soka, J., Msuha, M., O'Neill, H., Parker, M., Purchase, G., Sahailou, S., Saidu, Y., Samna, A., Schmidt-Küntzel, A., Selebatso, E., Sogbohossou, E.A., Soultan, A., Stone, E., Van der Meer, E., Van Vuuren, R., Wykstra, M., Young-Overton, K. (2017) The global decline of cheetah *Acinonyx jubatus* and what it means for conservation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the United States of America.* ;114(3), 528–533. doi: 10.1073/pnas.161112211
- Marnewick, K., et al. (2014) Evaluating the status of African wild dogs *Lycaon pictus* and cheetahs *Acinonyx jubatus* through tourist-based photographic surveys in the Kruger National Park [corrected] *PLoS One.* ; 9(1), e86265. [[PMC](#)] [[PubMed](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] free article]
- Nilsson, M, Griggs, D, Visbeck, M (2016) Policy: Map the interactions between Sustainable Development Goals. *Nature News* 534, 320. <https://doi.org/10.1038/534320a>
- Pham-Truffert M, Metz F, Fischer M et al (2020) Interactions among Sustainable Development Goals: Knowledge for identifying multipliers and virtuous cycles. *Sustainable Development* 28:1236–1250. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2073>
- ICSU (2017) A guide to SDG interactions: from science to implementation. International Council for Science (ICSU)
- IUCN/SSC. (2007) *Regional Conservation Strategy for the Cheetah and African Wild Dog in Eastern Africa*. IUCN Species Survival Commission; Gland, Switzerland.: [[Google Scholar](#)]
- IUCN/SSC (2012) *Regional Conservation Strategy for the Cheetah and African Wild Dog in Western Central and Northern Africa*. IUCN Species Survival Commission; Gland, Switzerland:
- IUCN/SSC. (2015) *Review of the Regional Conservation Strategy for the Cheetah and African Wild Dog in Southern Africa*. IUCN Species Survival Commission; Gland, Switzerland.: [[Google Scholar](#)]
- Ehrensperger, A, de Bremond, A, Providoli, I, Messerli, P (2019). Landsystem science and the 2030 agenda: exploring knowledge that supports sustainability transformation. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability* 38:68–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2019.04.006>
- OECD (2019a) Policy Coherence for Sustainable Development 2019: Empowering People and Ensuring Inclusiveness and Equality. OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/a90f851f-en>.

Nilsson, M. and Weitz, N. (2019) Governing Trade-Offs and Building Coherence in Policy-Making for the 2030 Agenda. *Politics and Governance* 7:254–263. <https://doi.org/10.17645/pag.v7i4.2229>

Pham-Truffert, M., Rueff, H., Messerli, P. (2019) Knowledge for Sustainable Development: Interactive repository of SDG interactions. In: CDE datablog. <https://datablog.cde.unibe.ch/index.php/2019/08/29/sdg-interactions>. Accessed 30 Sep 2019

Pradhan, P. (2019) Antagonists to meeting the 2030 Agenda. *Nat Sustain* 2:171–172. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0248-8>

Scharlemann, J.P.W., Brock, R.C., Balfour, N. et al (2020) Towards understanding interactions between Sustainable Development Goals: the role of environment–human linkages. *Sustain Sci*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-020-00799-6>.

Singh, G.G., Cisneros-Montemayor, A.M., Swartz W et al (2018) A rapid assessment of co-benefits and trade-offs among

Sustainable Development Goals. *Marine Policy* 93:223–231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2017.05.030>.

Tosun, J., Leininger, J. (2017) Governing the Interlinkages between the Sustainable Development Goals: Approaches to Attain Policy Integration. *Global Challenges* 1:1700036. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gch2.201700036>

Weitz, N., Carlsen, H., Nilsson, M., Skanberg, K. (2018) Towards systemic and contextual priority setting for implementing the 2030 agenda. *Sustainability Science* 13:531–548. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-017-0470-0>

Weise, F.J., Vijay, V., Jacobson, A.P., Schoonover, R.F., Groom, R.J., Horgan, J., Keeping, D., Klein, R., Marnewick, K., Maude, G., Melzheimer, J., Mills, G., van der Merwe, V., van der Meer, E, van Vuuren, R.J., Wachter, B., Pimm, S.L. (2017) The distribution and numbers of cheetah (*Acinonyx jubatus*) in southern Africa. *Peer J*. Dec 11;5:e4096. doi: 10.7717/peerj.4096. PMID: 29250465; PMCID: PMC5729830.